

---

**Logic Qualifier**  
**Spring 2013**

---

Part Zero

**Choose four of the following six theorems.** Give a precise formulation, and sketch the proof. Not all details must be included.

- (1) The Tarski-Vaught Test for elementary substructures.
- (2) The (downward) Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem.
- (3) Either the Finiteness Theorem (a.k.a., Compactness Theorem), or the Completeness Theorem.
- (4) Either the First or the Second Incompleteness Theorem.
- (5) Tarski's Undefinability of Truth Theorem.
- (6) The non-computability of the Halting Problem.

## Part One

Do four of the following eight problems.

- (1) Using Tarski-Seidenberg—that is to say, quantifier elimination for the real ordered field in the signature  $\langle 0, 1; +, -, \cdot; < \rangle$ —show that the field of real numbers viewed as a pure field—that is to say, in the signature  $\langle 0, 1; +, -, \cdot \rangle$ —is model-complete.
- (2) Let  $L$  be a language and  $T$  an  $L$ -theory. Let  $\sigma_n$  and  $\tau_n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be sentences in this language. Show that if the infinitary conjunction  $\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n$  is equivalent modulo  $T$  to the infinitary disjunction  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_n$ , then these two infinitary sentences are actually equivalent modulo  $T$  to a (first-order) sentence, as follows. For each  $n$ , let  $T_n$  be the theory consisting of  $T$ , all  $\sigma_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\neg\tau_1, \dots, \neg\tau_n$ . Show that some  $T_n$  must be inconsistent, by using an ultraproduct construction or appealing to the Compactness/Finiteness Theorem. Now deduce that the infinitary sentence  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_n$  is first-order modulo  $T$ .
- (3) Prove that if  $(\mathcal{M}_i | i \in \mathbb{N})$  is an elementary chain of  $L$ -structures, in the sense that  $\mathcal{M}_i \preceq \mathcal{M}_{i+1}$  for each  $i$ , and if  $\mathcal{M}$  is the union of this chain, then  $\mathcal{M}_0 \preceq \mathcal{M}$ .
- (4) Let  $\mathbf{S}_L$  the Stone space of  $L$  consisting of all complete  $L$ -theories  $T$ . Show that a theory  $T$  is finitely axiomatizable if and only if it is an isolated point of  $\mathbf{S}_L$ . (Recall that a point  $x$  in a topological space  $S$  is called *isolated* if the singleton  $\{x\}$  is open.)
- (5) Give two non-isomorphic algebraically closed fields that have some nontrivial ultrapowers which are isomorphic. Substantiate all your claims about these fields.
- (6) Express the following statements in first order logic.
  - (a) In the empty language, for a positive integer  $p$ : “there are at most  $p$  different elements.”
  - (b) In the language of rings  $\{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ : “the characteristic is  $p$ .”
  - (c) In the language of graphs  $\{R\}$ : “there is a path of length exactly 3 (i.e. a path with exactly 3 edges) between  $x$  and  $y$ .”
  - (d) In the language of orderings  $\{<\}$ : “every element has an immediate predecessor.”
- (7) Let  $S$  and  $T$  be  $L$ -theories such that  $S_\forall \subseteq T \subseteq S$ . Show that if  $T$  admits elimination of quantifiers, then  $\text{Mod}(T) = \text{Mod}(S)$ .
- (8) Let  $\mathbb{R}_\mathfrak{h}$  be a nontrivial ultrapower of the reals  $\mathbb{R}$  and view  $\mathbb{R}$  as a subfield via the diagonal embedding. Call a non-zero element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_\mathfrak{h}$  an *infinitesimal*, if  $0 < |\alpha| < r$ , for every positive  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ; call  $r$  *infinite* if  $1/\alpha$  is infinitesimal; and call  $\alpha$  *finite* if it is not infinite (or zero). Give an example of an infinitesimal. Show that the finite elements form a ring  $R$  and the infinitesimals  $\mathfrak{M}$  are an ideal in  $R$ . Show that for any element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_\mathfrak{h}$ , either  $\alpha$  is infinite or else there is a unique real number  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , called the *standard part of  $\alpha$* , such that  $\alpha - r$

## Part Two

Do four of the following eight problems.

- (1) Let  $M \models \text{PA}$  be nonstandard. Suppose that  $\varphi(x)$  is a formula in the language of arithmetic  $\{+, \times, <, 0, 1\}$  such that for every standard  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $M \models \varphi(n)$ . Prove that there is a nonstandard  $c \in M$  such that  $M \models \forall x < c \varphi(x)$ .
- (2) Show that PA proves all  $\Sigma_1$ -sentences that are true in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Is there a recursive extension of PA that proves all  $\Pi_1$ -sentences that are true in  $\mathbb{N}$ ?
- (3) Suppose that  $f(x, y)$  is a computable function. Use the Recursion Theorem to show that there is a natural number  $n$  such that  $\varphi_n(y) = f(n, y)$ .
- (4) Prove that there is no computable procedure that decides whether a sentence  $\varphi$  holds in all structures of the language of arithmetic.
- (5) Let  $H = \{\langle e, n \rangle \mid \varphi_e(n) \downarrow\}$  and  $K = \{e \mid \varphi_e(e) \downarrow\}$ . Prove that  $K \equiv_T H$ . (The notation  $X \equiv_T Y$  means that  $X$  is Turing computable with an oracle for  $Y$  and conversely.)
- (6) Sketch the proof that the class of recursive functions is the same as the class of Turing computable functions.
- (7) A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *represented* in a theory  $T$  of the language of arithmetic if there is a formula  $\varphi(x)$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have
  - (a)  $n \in A \rightarrow \text{PA} \vdash \varphi(\underline{n})$  (where  $\underline{n}$  is the term  $\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_n$ ),
  - (b)  $n \notin A \rightarrow \text{PA} \vdash \neg\varphi(\underline{n})$ .Show that the sets represented in PA are precisely the computable sets.
- (8) Use Tarski's Undefinability of Truth Theorem to prove the First Incompleteness Theorem.