

The present study explored new interventions to prevent racial bias in peremptory challenges. I tested whether making attorneys and law students accountable for their challenges affected their decision-making. I also explored if judicial record moderated any effects. We hypothesized there will be a four-way interaction between accountability, venireperson race, venireperson attitudes, and judicial record with different patterns of results depending on whether the Black venirepersons hold attitudes that are pro-prosecution, pro-defense, or neutral. Results indicate that venireperson attitude was the only significant predictor of attorney decision-making. Pro-defense venirepersons were excluded more often than neutral or pro-prosecution venirepersons regardless of other manipulations.